

Multivariate Polynomial Factorization by Interpolation Method *

Jingzhong Zhang Yong Feng

Xijin Tang

Laboratory for Automated Reasoning and Programming
Chengdu Institute of Computer Applications
Chinese Academy of Sciences
610041 Chengdu, P. R. China
E-mail: zjz101@yahoo.com.cn, yongfeng@casit.ac.cn

Abstract

Factorization of polynomials arises in numerous areas in symbolic computation. It is an important capability in many symbolic and algebraic computation. There are two type of factorization of polynomials. One is convention polynomial factorization, and the other approximate polynomial factorization.

Conventional factorization algorithms use symbolic methods to get exact factors of a polynomial while approximate factorization algorithms use numerical methods to get approximate factors of a polynomial. Symbolic computation often confront intermediate expression swell problem, which lower the efficiency of factorization. The numerical computation is famous for its high efficiency, but it only gives approximate results. In this paper, we present an algorithm which use approximate method to get exact factors of a multivariate polynomial. Compared with other methods, this method has the numerical computation advantage of high efficiency for some class of polynomials with factors of lower degree. The experimental results show that the method is more efficient than *factor* in Maple 9.5 for polynomials with more variables and higher degree.

Key words: Factorization of multivariate polynomials, Interpolation methods, Numerical Computation, Decomposition of Affine Variety.

*The work is partially supported by China 973 Project NKBRPC-2004CB318003.

1 Introduction

Polynomial factorization plays a significant role in many problems including the simplification, Gröbner basis and solving polynomial equations etc. It has been studied for a long time and some high efficient algorithms have been proposed. There are two type of factorization of polynomials. One is convention polynomial factorization, and the other is approximate polynomial factorization.

The modern conventional factorization methods follow Zassenhaus' approach [15][16]. First, Multivariate polynomial factorization is reduced to bivariate factorization due to Bertini's theorem and hensel lifting[5][6]. Then one of the two remaining variables is specialized at random. The resulting univariate polynomial is factored and its factors are lifted up to a high enough precision. At last, the lifted factors are recombined to get the factors of the original polynomial.

Approximate factorization is a natural extension of conventional polynomial factorization. It uses approximate methods to get approximate factorization of polynomial. The approximate factorization is not popular now, but there are some papers to discuss it. In 1985, Kaltonfen presented an algorithm for performing the absolute irreducible factorization, and suggested to perform his algorithm by floating-point numbers, then the factor obtained is an approximate one. However, the concept of approximate factorization appeared first in a paper on control theory[10]. The algorithm is as follows: At first express the two factors G and H of the polynomials F with unknown coefficients by fixing their terms, then determine the numerical coefficients so as to minimize $\|F - GH\|$. Huang et al. pursuit this approach, but the algorithm seems to be rarely successful, unless G or H is a polynomial of several terms. In 1991, Sasaki et al. proposed a modern algorithm[11], which use power-series roots to find approximate factors. This algorithm is successful for polynomials of small degrees. Subsequently, Sasaki et al. presented another algorithm[12] which utilizes zero-sum relations. The zero-sum relations are quite effective for determining approximate factors. However, computation based on zero-sum relations is practically very time-consuming. In [13], Sasaki, T. presented an effective method to get as many zero-sum relations as possible by matrix operations so that approximate factorization algorithm is improved. In [3], Corless et al proposed an algorithm for factoring bivariate approximate polynomial based on the idea of decomposition of affine variety. However, it is not easy to generalize the algorithm to factor multivariate approximate polynomials. Recently, Zhang et al[17] proposed an algorithm for obtaining exact rational number from its approximate floating number. In this paper, basing on the algorithm in [17], we present an algorithm which use approximate method to get exact factors of a polynomial. It can be regard as a generalization of Corless' algorithm in multivariate polynomial and exact polynomial case.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents a for-

mula by which a polynomial is constructed from sampled points on its variety. A condition is given for the formula to determine only one polynomial up to a nonzero constant multiples, and the error estimation is discussed. Section 3 gives a review of a modified continued fraction method, by which an exact rational number can be obtained from its approximation. Section 4 first discusses the error control, and then proposes a factorization algorithm for multivariate polynomial over rational number field. Section 5 gives some experimental results. The final section makes conclusion.

2 Interpolation method

Polynomial interpolation is a classical numerical method. It is studied very well for univariate polynomials. In general, there are four types of polynomial interpolation method: Lagrange Interpolation, Neville's Interpolation, Newton's Interpolation and Hermite Interpolation. Lagrange interpolation formula can get the interpolation polynomial at once for a given set of distinct interpolation points and corresponding values $(x_i, f_i), i = 1, \dots, n+1$. It is very useful in some situations in which many interpolation problems are to be solved for the same set of interpolation points $x_i, i = 1, \dots, n+1$, but different sets of function values $f_i, i = 1, \dots, n+1$. Unlike Lagrange interpolation method which solve the interpolation problem all at once, Neville's interpolation method solve the problem for smaller sets of interpolation points first and then update these solutions to obtain the solution to the full interpolation problem. It aims at determining the value of the interpolating polynomial at some point. It is less suited for determining the interpolating polynomial. If the interpolating polynomial is needed, Newton's Interpolation formula is preferred. Just like Neville's interpolation method, it first get interpolating polynomial for smaller sets of interpolation points and then update the polynomial for a larger sets of interpolation points, step by step, and finally, the interpolating polynomial is obtained for the set of the full interpolation points. If the interpolating problem prescribes at each interpolation point $x_i, i = 1, \dots, n+1$ not only the value but also the derivatives of desired polynomial, then the Hermite formula is preferred.

For univariate polynomial interpolation, $n + 1$ distinct interpolation points and their corresponding values determine only one polynomial with degree less than or equal to n . However, the interpolation points for multivariate polynomial interpolation can not be chosen arbitrarily. They need to satisfy some conditions. So we need a definition as follows:

Definition 1 *Let Θ be a set of n -dimension points and P a polynomial space. We call Θ **Proper interpolation points** of P if for any f defined on Θ , there is a unique polynomial $p \in P$ matching f at Θ .*

In definition 1, a polynomial p matching f at Θ means $p|_{\Theta} = f|_{\Theta}$. In general, we can determine interpolation polynomial space such as: if knowing the total degree d of f , we choose $P = \{p | \deg(p) \leq d, p \in K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]\}$; if knowing the degree d_i of f in $x_i (i = 1, \dots, n)$, we choose $P = \{p | \wedge_{i=1,2,\dots,n} (\deg_{x_i}(p) \leq d_i), p \in K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]\}$. Once the interpolation polynomial space is determined, the proper interpolation points Θ of P can be set by interpolation methods[9][1].

In this paper, we need to construct a polynomial from some points of its variety. Values of the polynomial at interpolation points are all zero. So, we introduce an interpolation formula for this case.

Let $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a polynomial to be interpolated. It is represented as follows:

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = c_1 X^{\alpha_1} + c_2 X^{\alpha_2} + \dots + c_m X^{\alpha_m}, \quad (1)$$

where $X^{\alpha_i} = x_1^{d_{1,i}} x_2^{d_{2,i}} \dots x_n^{d_{n,i}}$ are the distinct monomials, and c_i are the corresponding coefficients.

Let $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{m-1}$ be points on variety of $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, where $p_i = (p_{i1}, p_{i2}, \dots, p_{in})$ for $i = 1, \dots, m-1$. $P_i^{\alpha_j} = p_{i1}^{d_{1,j}} p_{i2}^{d_{2,j}} \dots p_{in}^{d_{n,j}}$ denote the value of the monomial X^{α_j} at p_i . An interpolation formula is as follows:

$$G(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \begin{vmatrix} X^{\alpha_1} & X^{\alpha_2} & \dots & X^{\alpha_m} \\ P_1^{\alpha_1} & P_1^{\alpha_2} & \dots & P_1^{\alpha_m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ P_{m-1}^{\alpha_1} & P_{m-1}^{\alpha_2} & \dots & P_{m-1}^{\alpha_m} \end{vmatrix} \quad (2)$$

Next, we need to know what condition the interpolation points $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{m-1}$ should satisfy so as to ensure formula (2) to determine a unique polynomial and it is $f(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ up to a nonzero constant multiplies.

Let X_i^* denote the minor of X^{α_i} resulting from the deletion of row 1 and column i in formula (2). We have a theorem as follows.

Theorem 1 *Let $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a nonzero polynomial and it is expressed as in equation (1). If the $m-1$ zeroes of $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ satisfy a condition that $G(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq 0$ in formula 2, then formula (2) determines a unique polynomial and it is polynomial $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ up to a nonzero constant multiples.*

Proof: Due to $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \neq 0$, the coefficients of $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ are not all zero. Assume that $c_{i_1} \neq 0, c_{i_2} \neq 0, \dots, c_{i_s} \neq 0$, and their corresponding monomials are $X^{\alpha_{i_1}}, X^{\alpha_{i_2}}, \dots, X^{\alpha_{i_s}}$. Let $X_{i_1}^*, X_{i_2}^*, \dots, X_{i_s}^*$ denote the minors of $X^{\alpha_{i_1}}, X^{\alpha_{i_2}}, \dots, X^{\alpha_{i_s}}$ in formula (2) respectively.

First, we show that if one of $X_{i_1}^*, X_{i_2}^*, \dots, X_{i_s}^*$ is nonzero, then $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = cG(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, where c is a nonzero constant. Without loss of generality, let

us assume that $c_k \neq 0$ and $X_k^* \neq 0$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& G(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\
&= \begin{vmatrix} X^{\alpha_1} & X^{\alpha_2} & \dots & X^{\alpha_{k-1}} & X^{\alpha_k} & X^{\alpha_{k+1}} & \dots & X^{\alpha_m} \\ P_1^{\alpha_1} & P_1^{\alpha_2} & \dots & P_1^{\alpha_{k-1}} & P_1^{\alpha_k} & P_1^{\alpha_{k+1}} & \dots & P_1^{\alpha_m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ P_{m-1}^{\alpha_1} & P_{m-1}^{\alpha_2} & \dots & P_{m-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}} & P_{m-1}^{\alpha_k} & P_{m-1}^{\alpha_{k+1}} & \dots & P_{m-1}^{\alpha_m} \end{vmatrix} \\
&= \frac{1}{c_k} \begin{vmatrix} X^{\alpha_1} & X^{\alpha_2} & \dots & X^{\alpha_{k-1}} & c_k X^{\alpha_k} & X^{\alpha_{k+1}} & \dots & X^{\alpha_m} \\ P_1^{\alpha_1} & P_1^{\alpha_2} & \dots & P_1^{\alpha_{k-1}} & c_k P_1^{\alpha_k} & P_1^{\alpha_{k+1}} & \dots & P_1^{\alpha_m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ P_{m-1}^{\alpha_1} & P_{m-1}^{\alpha_2} & \dots & P_{m-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}} & c_k P_{m-1}^{\alpha_k} & P_{m-1}^{\alpha_{k+1}} & \dots & P_{m-1}^{\alpha_m} \end{vmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

For $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, m$, addition of c_i times column i to column k yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
& G(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\
&= \frac{1}{c_k} \begin{vmatrix} X^{\alpha_1} & \dots & X^{\alpha_{k-1}} & \sum_{i=1}^k c_i X^{\alpha_k} & X^{\alpha_{k+1}} & \dots & X^{\alpha_m} \\ P_1^{\alpha_1} & \dots & P_1^{\alpha_{k-1}} & \sum_{i=1}^k c_i P_1^{\alpha_k} & P_1^{\alpha_{k+1}} & \dots & P_1^{\alpha_m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ P_{m-1}^{\alpha_1} & \dots & P_{m-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}} & \sum_{i=1}^k c_i P_{m-1}^{\alpha_k} & P_{m-1}^{\alpha_{k+1}} & \dots & P_{m-1}^{\alpha_m} \end{vmatrix} \\
&= \frac{1}{c_k} \begin{vmatrix} X^{\alpha_1} & \dots & X^{\alpha_{k-1}} & f(x_1, \dots, x_n) & X^{\alpha_{k+1}} & \dots & X^{\alpha_m} \\ P_1^{\alpha_1} & \dots & P_1^{\alpha_{k-1}} & 0 & P_1^{\alpha_{k+1}} & \dots & P_1^{\alpha_m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ P_{m-1}^{\alpha_1} & \dots & P_{m-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}} & 0 & P_{m-1}^{\alpha_{k+1}} & \dots & P_{m-1}^{\alpha_m} \end{vmatrix} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{c_k} X_k^* f(x_1, \dots, x_n)
\end{aligned}$$

Due to $c_k \neq 0$ and $X_k^* \neq 0$, it follows that $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = cG(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, where $c = \frac{(-1)^{k+1} c_k}{X_k^*}$ is nonzero constant.

Second, we assert that one of $X_{i1}^*, X_{i2}^*, \dots, X_{id}^*$ must be nonzero. We prove it by contradiction. Let us assume that $X_{i1}^* = 0, X_{i2}^* = 0, \dots, X_{id}^* = 0$.

Under the assumption of the theorem, $G(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i X^{\alpha_i} \neq 0$ and $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \neq 0$. So, not all of their coefficients are zero. Assume that $b_{h_1} \neq 0, b_{h_2} \neq 0, \dots, b_{h_z} \neq 0$ and $c_{i_1} \neq 0, c_{i_2} \neq 0, \dots, c_{i_s} \neq 0$. Since $X_{i1}^* = 0, X_{i2}^* = 0, \dots, X_{is}^* = 0$, it holds that $i_k \neq h_j$ for $k = 1, \dots, s$ and $j = 1, \dots, z$. Hence, we have that $X^{\alpha_{i_k}} \neq X^{\alpha_{h_j}}$ for $k = 1, \dots, s$ and $j = 1, \dots, z$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
H(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) + G(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\
&= c_{i_1} X^{\alpha_{i_1}} + \dots + c_{i_s} X^{\alpha_{i_s}} + b_{h_1} X^{\alpha_{h_1}} + \dots + b_{h_z} X^{\alpha_{h_z}} \\
&\neq 0
\end{aligned}$$

Because of $b_{h_1} \neq 0$ and $X_{h_1}^* \neq 0$, it has been shown above that

$$G(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \bar{c}H(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n),$$

where $\bar{c}$ is nonzero constant. Hence we deduce that

$$G(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - \bar{c}H(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \equiv 0$$

. However it is impossible because the term $\bar{c}c_{i_1}X^{\alpha_{i_1}} \neq 0$ is not monomial of $G(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Therefore we show that one of $X_{i_1}^*, X_{i_2}^*, \dots, X_{i_s}^*$ must be nonzero. The proof of the theorem is finished.

The above theorem shows that if formula (2) gives a nonzero polynomial, and the first row contains all monomials of an interpolating polynomial. then it is the interpolating polynomial up to nonzero constant multiples.

However, due to floating-point computation, we only get approximate zeroes of f . Accordingly, we only obtain approximate factors. In the remaining of this section, we study how the error resulting from floating computation affects that of factors. For simplicity, let us give a definition:

Definition 2 Let $X_i^{\alpha_j} = x_{i,1}^{\alpha_{j,1}} x_{i,2}^{\alpha_{j,2}} \dots x_{i,n}^{\alpha_{j,n}}$, where $x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,n}$ are complex number and $\alpha_{j,1}, \dots, \alpha_{j,n}$ are nonnegative integer. A generalized Vandermonder determinant is defined as follows:

$$V_m = \begin{vmatrix} X_1^{\alpha_1} & X_1^{\alpha_2} & \dots & X_1^{\alpha_m} \\ X_2^{\alpha_1} & X_2^{\alpha_2} & \dots & X_2^{\alpha_m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ X_m^{\alpha_1} & X_m^{\alpha_2} & \dots & X_m^{\alpha_m} \end{vmatrix} \quad (3)$$

We have an estimation of generalized vandermonder determinant as follows:

Theorem 2 Let $M = \max_{i,j} \{X_i^{\alpha_j}\}$ and $B = \max_{i,j,k} \{\|X_i^{\alpha_j} - X_i^{\alpha_k}\|, |X_j^{\alpha_i} - X_k^{\alpha_i}|\}$. Then for $m \geq 2$ it holds that

$$|V_m| \leq m!M^{m-1}B$$

Proof: We prove it by inductive method. When $m = 2$, the generalized Vandermonder determinant is

$$\begin{aligned} V_2 &= \begin{vmatrix} X_1^{\alpha_1} & X_1^{\alpha_2} \\ X_2^{\alpha_1} & X_2^{\alpha_2} \end{vmatrix} = X_1^{\alpha_1} X_2^{\alpha_2} - X_2^{\alpha_1} X_1^{\alpha_2} \\ &= X_1^{\alpha_1} X_2^{\alpha_2} - X_1^{\alpha_1} X_1^{\alpha_2} + X_1^{\alpha_1} X_1^{\alpha_2} - X_2^{\alpha_1} X_1^{\alpha_2} \\ &= X_1^{\alpha_1} (X_2^{\alpha_2} - X_1^{\alpha_2}) + X_1^{\alpha_2} (X_1^{\alpha_1} - X_2^{\alpha_1}) \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$|V_2| \leq |X_1^{\alpha_1} (X_2^{\alpha_2} - X_1^{\alpha_2})| + |X_1^{\alpha_2} (X_1^{\alpha_1} - X_2^{\alpha_1})| \leq 2MB = 2!M^{2-1}B$$

Assume that $|V_m| \leq m!M^{m-1}B$ for $m = k$. Let us show that it holds for $m = k + 1$. We expand V_{k+1} by minors as follows

$$|V_{k+1}| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+j} X_i^{\alpha_j} V_k^{i,j} \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} |X_i^{\alpha_j}| * |V_k^{i,j}|$$

According to our assumption that $|V_k^{i,j}| \leq k!M^{k-1}B$, we have

$$|V_{k+1}| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} |X_i^{\alpha_j}| * |V_k^{i,j}| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} M * k!M^{k-1}B = (k+1)!M^k B$$

The proof is finished.

Theorem 3 Let $M = \max_{i,j} \{X_i^{\alpha_j}\}$ and $B = \max_{i,j,k} \{\|X_i^{\alpha_j} - X_i^{\alpha_k}\|, |X_j^{\alpha_i} - X_k^{\alpha_i}|\}$ and $\varepsilon = \max_{i=1}^m |a_i|$. A determinant is as follows:

$$V_m = \begin{vmatrix} X_1^{\alpha_1} & X_1^{\alpha_2} & \cdots & a_1 & \cdots & X_1^{\alpha_m} \\ X_2^{\alpha_1} & X_2^{\alpha_2} & \cdots & a_2 & \cdots & X_2^{\alpha_m} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ X_m^{\alpha_1} & X_m^{\alpha_2} & \cdots & a_m & \cdots & X_m^{\alpha_m} \end{vmatrix}$$

Then we have an estimate that $|V_m| \leq M^{m-2}m!B\varepsilon$ for $m \geq 3$.

Proof. Expanding V_m by column $(a_1, \dots, a_m)^T$ and then using theorem 2, we can get the proof.

And now, we study the difference between two generalized Vandemonder determinants.

Theorem 4 Let

$$V_m^{(1)} = \begin{vmatrix} X_1^{\alpha_1} & X_1^{\alpha_2} & \cdots & X_1^{\alpha_m} \\ X_2^{\alpha_1} & X_2^{\alpha_2} & \cdots & X_2^{\alpha_m} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ X_m^{\alpha_1} & X_m^{\alpha_2} & \cdots & X_m^{\alpha_m} \end{vmatrix}$$

and

$$V_m^{(2)} = \begin{vmatrix} Y_1^{\alpha_1} & Y_1^{\alpha_2} & \cdots & Y_1^{\alpha_m} \\ Y_2^{\alpha_1} & Y_2^{\alpha_2} & \cdots & Y_2^{\alpha_m} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ Y_m^{\alpha_1} & Y_m^{\alpha_2} & \cdots & Y_m^{\alpha_m} \end{vmatrix}$$

and assume that $M = \max_{i,j} \{X_i^{\alpha_j}, Y_i^{\alpha_j}\}$, $B = \max_{i,j,k} \{\|X_i^{\alpha_j} - X_i^{\alpha_k}\|, |X_j^{\alpha_i} - X_k^{\alpha_i}|, \|Y_i^{\alpha_j} - Y_i^{\alpha_k}\|, |Y_j^{\alpha_i} - Y_k^{\alpha_i}|\}$ and $\varepsilon = \max_{i,j=1}^m \{\|X_i^{\alpha_j} - Y_i^{\alpha_j}\|\}$. Then it holds for $m \geq 3$ that

$$|V_m^{(1)} - V_m^{(2)}| \leq mm!M^{m-2}B\varepsilon$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
V_m^{(1)} - V_m^{(2)} &= \begin{vmatrix} X_1^{\alpha_1} & X_1^{\alpha_2} & \dots & X_1^{\alpha_m} \\ X_2^{\alpha_1} & X_2^{\alpha_2} & \dots & X_2^{\alpha_m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ X_m^{\alpha_1} & X_m^{\alpha_2} & \dots & X_m^{\alpha_m} \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} Y_1^{\alpha_1} & Y_1^{\alpha_2} & \dots & Y_1^{\alpha_m} \\ Y_2^{\alpha_1} & Y_2^{\alpha_2} & \dots & Y_2^{\alpha_m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ Y_m^{\alpha_1} & Y_m^{\alpha_2} & \dots & Y_m^{\alpha_m} \end{vmatrix} \\
&= \begin{vmatrix} X_1^{\alpha_1} & X_1^{\alpha_2} & \dots & X_1^{\alpha_m} \\ X_2^{\alpha_1} & X_2^{\alpha_2} & \dots & X_2^{\alpha_m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ X_m^{\alpha_1} & X_m^{\alpha_2} & \dots & X_m^{\alpha_m} \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} Y_1^{\alpha_1} & X_1^{\alpha_2} & \dots & X_1^{\alpha_m} \\ Y_2^{\alpha_1} & X_2^{\alpha_2} & \dots & X_2^{\alpha_m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ Y_m^{\alpha_1} & X_m^{\alpha_2} & \dots & X_m^{\alpha_m} \end{vmatrix} \\
&+ \begin{vmatrix} Y_1^{\alpha_1} & X_1^{\alpha_2} & \dots & X_1^{\alpha_m} \\ Y_2^{\alpha_1} & X_2^{\alpha_2} & \dots & X_2^{\alpha_m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ Y_m^{\alpha_1} & X_m^{\alpha_2} & \dots & X_m^{\alpha_m} \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} Y_1^{\alpha_1} & Y_1^{\alpha_2} & \dots & X_1^{\alpha_m} \\ Y_2^{\alpha_1} & Y_2^{\alpha_2} & \dots & X_2^{\alpha_m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ Y_m^{\alpha_1} & Y_m^{\alpha_2} & \dots & X_m^{\alpha_m} \end{vmatrix} \\
&+ \dots \\
&+ \begin{vmatrix} Y_1^{\alpha_1} & Y_1^{\alpha_2} & \dots & X_1^{\alpha_m} \\ Y_2^{\alpha_1} & Y_2^{\alpha_2} & \dots & X_2^{\alpha_m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ Y_m^{\alpha_1} & Y_m^{\alpha_2} & \dots & X_m^{\alpha_m} \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} Y_1^{\alpha_1} & Y_1^{\alpha_2} & \dots & Y_1^{\alpha_m} \\ Y_2^{\alpha_1} & Y_2^{\alpha_2} & \dots & Y_2^{\alpha_m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ Y_m^{\alpha_1} & Y_m^{\alpha_2} & \dots & Y_m^{\alpha_m} \end{vmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

From theorem 3, it holds that $|V_m^{(1)} - V_m^{(2)}| \leq m * M^{m-2} m! B \varepsilon = m m! M^{m-2} B \varepsilon$. The proof is finished.

3 Continued fraction method

As we said above, our method is to use approximate method to get exact factors of a multivariate polynomial over rational number field. So we need to recover the exact coefficients of a polynomial from its approximate coefficients. In this section, we introduce a continued fraction method to recover exact rational number from its approximation. As we know, a continued fraction representation of a real number is one of the forms:

$$a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \dots}}}, \quad (4)$$

where a_0 is a integer and $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots$ are positive integers. One can abbreviate the above continued fraction as

$$[a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$$

In order to recover exact rational number, we introduce a control error into the conventional continued fraction method. The continued fraction method is modified as follows.

Algorithm 1 *Continued fraction method**Input: a nonnegative floating-point number a and $\varepsilon_1 > 0$;**Output: a rational number b* *Step 1: $i := 1$ and $x_1 := a$;**Step 2: Getting integral part of x_i and assigning it to a_i , assigning its remains to b_i . If $b_i < \varepsilon_1$, then goto Step 5;**Step 3: $i := i + 1$;**Step 4: $x_i := \frac{1}{b_{i-1}}$ and goto Step 2;**Step 5: Computing expression (4) and assigning it to b .**Step 6: return b .*

In [17], we discussed how to get error control $\varepsilon_1 > 0$. The theorem is as follows:

Theorem 5 *Let n_0/n_1 be a reduced rational number and r its approximation. Assume that n_0, n_1 are positive integers and $L \geq \max\{n_1, 2\}$. K is a positive integer. The continued fraction representations of n_0/n_1 and r are $[a_0, a_1, \dots, a_N]$ and $[b_0, b_1, \dots, b_M]$ respectively. If $|d| = |r - n_0/n_1| < 1/((2K + 2)L(L - 1))$, then one of the two statement holds*

- $a_i = b_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, N$, and $b_{N+1} \geq K$;
- $a_i = b_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, N - 1$, and $b_N = a_N - 1$, $b_{N+1} = 1$, $b_{N+2} \geq K$.

From theorem 5, getting exact non-negative number n_2/n_1 from its approximation r_0 is summarized as follows:

Algorithm 2 *Obtaining Exact Number**Step 1: estimating an upper bound of the denominator of n_2/n_1 , Denoted by L ;**Step 2: computing*

$$\beta = \frac{1}{(2L + 2)L(L - 1)}$$

*Step 3: obtaining r_0 by approximate method such that $|r_0 - n_2/n_1| < \beta$;**Step 4: taking $\varepsilon_1 = 1/L$ in algorithm 1 and calling algorithm 1 to get b . So $n_2/n_1 = b$.*

4 Factoring Multivariate Polynomials by Approximate Method

In this paper, we only discuss factorization of a multivariate polynomial over rational number field. So its coefficients are all rational numbers. In order to get factors of a multivariate polynomial over rational number field, we first compute its factors over complex field. These factors are complex coefficient polynomials. Products of some of them must be real polynomials. We get the products which are approximate rational coefficient factors of the original polynomial. Finally, transforming these real products into rational coefficient polynomials yields factors of the original polynomial over rational number field.

A set

$$V(f) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in C^n : f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0\}$$

is called **affine variety** of $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. An affine variety $V \subset C^n$ is **irreducible** if whenever V is written in the form $V = V_1 \cup V_2$, where V_1 and V_2 are affine varieties, then either $V_1 = V$ or $V_2 = V$.

Let $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a square free polynomial over complex number field C and $f = f_1 f_2 \dots f_m$, where f_i is distinct irreducible polynomials. Then $\langle f \rangle$ is a radical ideal. It holds as follows

$$V(f) = V(f_1) \cup V(f_2) \cup \dots \cup V(f_m), \quad (5)$$

where $V(f_i)$ are irreducible affine varieties.

From equation (5), if we get a point on variety of f , it must be either on one of $V(f_i)$ or on the intersection of them. When the point is not singular point, it must be on one of $V(f_i)$ and not on the intersection of two varieties. Theorem 1 shows that if getting enough points in some variety of $V(f_i)$ that satisfy the condition of theorem 1, we can recover the polynomial by formula (2). Therefore, the procedure of factorization is as follows: First get a initial nonsingular point on one variety of $V(f_i)$. And then obtain enough sampled points on the same variety. Third, use formula (2) to get a factor and finally, obtain a rational factor.

However, due to approximate computation, we first discuss error control, and then study factorization.

4.1 Error control

Let $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a polynomial to be factored over rational number field. According to algorithm 3, the first thing we need to do is to determine an upper

bound of absolute values of coefficient denominators of factors of $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. The following theorem is very helpful.

Theorem 6 *Let $g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a monic polynomial over rational number field. Its factorization over rational number field is $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^m h_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, where all $h_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are monic polynomials. Assume that $N > 0$ is the least common multiple of denominators of coefficients of $g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Then N is an upper bound of absolute values of denominators of coefficients of $h_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$.*

Proof: It is clear that $Ng(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a primitive integral coefficient polynomial. Let N_i be the least common multiple of denominators of coefficients of $h_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Hence, $h_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{N_i} \bar{h}_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, where $\bar{h}_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a primitive integral coefficient polynomial. From Gauss' lemma, $\prod_{i=1}^m \bar{h}_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a primitive polynomial over $Z[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. On the other hand, we have that

$$Ng(x_1, \dots, x_n) = N \prod_{i=1}^m h_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{N}{\prod_{i=1}^m N_i} \prod_{i=1}^m \bar{h}_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

Since $Ng(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\prod_{i=1}^m \bar{h}_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are primitive polynomials, it holds that $N/(\prod_{i=1}^m N_i) = \pm 1$. Therefore, $N = \pm \prod_{i=1}^m N_i$. The proof is finished.

Theorem 6 shows that the positive least common multiple of denominators of coefficients of a monic polynomial is also an upper bound of absolute values of denominators of coefficients of its monic factors.

According to algorithm 2 and theorem 4, we calculate control error as follows:

Algorithm 3 *Calculating control error*

Step 1: calculating upper bound of absolute values of the coefficient denominators of exact factors, denoted by L . From theorem 6, we can take the positive least common multiple of the coefficient denominators of a monic polynomial to be factored.

Step 2: taking $K = L + 1$ and $\varepsilon_1 = 1/K$ in algorithm 1.

Step 3: computing $\beta = \frac{1}{(2K+2)L(L-1)}$.

Step 4: computing the control error ε in theorem 4 such that $mm!M^{m-2}B\varepsilon \leq \beta$.

Therefore, in order to factor polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, we first call algorithm 3 to compute control error ε and control error ε_1 in algorithm 1.

4.2 Initial point

Let $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a square-free polynomial to be factored over rational number field. Of course, it is a square-free polynomial over complex number field. Choosing $n - 1$ floating-point numbers $x_{1,0}, x_{2,0}, \dots, x_{n-1,0}$ at random and numerically solve $f(x_{1,0}, x_{2,0}, \dots, x_{n-1,0}, x_n) = 0$ for variable x_n within control error ε . Denote this solution by $x_{n,0}$. So the zero $(x_{1,0}, x_{2,0}, \dots, x_{n,0})$ of $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ must be in one of $V(f_i)$ or the intersection of them. If

$$\nabla f(x_{1,0}, x_{2,0}, \dots, x_{n,0}) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0),$$

then it must be in one of $V(f_i)$ and not in the intersection of two of them. In order to get a neighborhood U_0 of $(x_{1,0}, x_{2,0}, \dots, x_{n,0})$ which is only on one variety $V(f_i)$, we require that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial f(x_{1,0}, \dots, x_{n,0})}{\partial x_1} \neq 0 \\ \frac{\partial f(x_{1,0}, \dots, x_{n,0})}{\partial x_2} \neq 0 \\ \dots \dots \dots \\ \frac{\partial f(x_{1,0}, \dots, x_{n,0})}{\partial x_{n-1}} \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

We always assume that $\frac{\partial f(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{\partial x_i}$ is not zero polynomial. This is because if there exists $k(0 < k \leq n)$ such that $\frac{\partial f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}{\partial x_k} \equiv 0$, then

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) \equiv g(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n).$$

So, we can consider polynomial $g(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)$. Hence with probability 1, we can get a point $(x_{1,0}, x_{2,0}, \dots, x_{n,0})$ that satisfies equation(6).

4.3 Sampled points

Let $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $P_0 = (x_{1,0}, x_{2,0}, \dots, x_{n,0})$. Since $\frac{\partial f(x_{1,0}, \dots, x_{n,0})}{\partial x_i} \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, we can calculate $h_1 > 0, h_2 > 0, \dots, h_{n-1} > 0$ from Mean value theorem such that

$$(\text{sign}(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(P_0)), \dots, \text{sign}(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n-1}}(P_0))) = (\text{sign}(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(X)), \dots, \text{sign}(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n-1}}(X)))$$

for $X \in U(P_0)$, where $\text{sign}()$ is sign function and let $U(P_0) = [[x_{1,0} - h_1, x_{1,0} + h_1], \dots, [x_{n-1,0} - h_{n-1}, x_{n-1,0} + h_{n-1}]]$.

d_i is denoted by the degree of polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with respect to x_i for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$. Choosing $d_i + 1$ distinct points in interval $[x_{i,0} - h_i, x_{i,0} + h_i]$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$. So we get $n - 1$ dimension vectors denoted by Φ_{n-1} . And then substituting each vector $v_i \in \Phi_{n-1}$ into $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and getting univariate

polynomial $g(x_n)$, Solving $g(x_n) = 0$ within control error ε in algorithm 3 and choosing the solution $x_{n,i}$ which is the closest to $x_{n,0}$ yields a n -dimension vector. Hence we get $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (d_i + 1)$ n -dimension vectors denoted by Φ_n .

4.4 Getting a factor by sampled points

In this subsection, we discuss how to get exact factors of a polynomial. This procedure runs as follows: First a candidate set monomials in the support of the factor is selected. If we know the pattern of monomials of the factor, we choose a restricted set of monomials. If we do not know the pattern, then for $m = 1, \dots, d - 1$, where d is total degree of $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, we use the complete set of monomials of degree less than or equal to m , denoted by M_m . Next, for every set of monomials $M_m (m = 1, 2, \dots, d - 1)$, some of the above sampled points are selected. If the sampled points is less than we want, we can refine $U(P_0)$ and get enough sampled points. The selected sampled points must satisfy the condition of theorem 1. From the selected sampled points, formula (2) is used to obtain a polynomial $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ which monomial set is M_m . and then transform $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ into a monic polynomial, still denoted by $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. Third, we deal with $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ in two cases:

Case 1: $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is not a real polynomial but a complex polynomial. Let the selected sampled points be $p_0, p_1, \dots$, from which $g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is constructed. So, their complex conjugate points $\bar{p}_0, \bar{p}_1, \dots$, are on the other variety $V(f_j)$. We construct a monic polynomial $\bar{g}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ from $\bar{p}_0, \bar{p}_1, \dots$. So $g\bar{g}$ is a monic real polynomial. We deal with $g\bar{g}$ just as in case 2.

Case 2: $g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a monic real polynomial. We use the polynomial division proposed in [3] to get $h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ which minimizes $\|f - gh\|$. Let $r = \|f - gh\|$. If r is large, we should add more sampled points and extend the monomial set M_m to M_{m+1} , and then use formula (2) to get a polynomial with higher degree. If r is very small, then we use algorithm 2 to transform $g(x_1, \dots, x_n), h(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ to a rational polynomial $g'(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $h'(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ respectively. Compute $r' = \|f - g'h'\|$. If $r' = 0$ then $g'(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a rational factor of $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. We continue to factor polynomial $h'(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ on the other variety $V(f_j)$. If $r' \neq 0$, then from theorem 5, $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is not an approximate rational factor but an approximate real factor of polynomial $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. We continue to factor polynomial $h(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ on the other $V(f_j)$. Finally, we get all factors of $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Let $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g_1 g_2 \dots g_k g_{k+1} \dots$, and $\Phi = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k\}$ are not rational factors, the others are rational factors. We compute products of two distinct polynomials of Φ : $g_{ij} = g_i * g_j (i \neq j, \text{ and } i, j \leq k)$. Check if every g_{ij} is an approximate rational factor, Whenever it is so, keep g_{ij} as a rational factor and remove g_i, g_j from Φ ; After finishing to deal with products of two distinct polynomials in Φ , we compute products of three distinct polynomials of Φ : $g_{ijm} = g_i g_j g_m (i \neq j, j \neq m, m \neq i)$, and check if every g_{ijm} is an approximate rational factor. Whenever g_{ijm} is so, keep it as a rational factor and remove

g_i, g_j, g_m from Φ ; and so on, until all rational factors of $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ are obtained.

5 Experimental results

The following five polynomials are randomly generated by *randpoly* command in *Maple*. Our algorithm is implemented in *Maple*. Compared our algorithm with *factor* command in *Maple* in the platform of Maple 9.5 and PIII 1.0G, 256M RAM, The running time of the five examples are as follows:

Example 1. This polynomial is with four variables, 46376 terms, and of degree 30. The 15 factors are of degree 2. The *factor* command in *Maple* costs 430.108 seconds, our algorithm costs 278.375 seconds.

Example 2. The polynomial is with four variables, 52360 terms, and of degree 31. The 21 factors are of degree 1 or 2. The *factor* command in *Maple* costs 667.438 seconds to factor the polynomial, our algorithm 307.922 seconds.

Example 3. The polynomial is with four variables, 52360 terms, and of degree 32. The 32 factors are of degree 1. The *factor* command in *Maple* computed 7200 seconds and gave no result, our algorithm 250.436 seconds.

Example 4. The polynomial is with three variables, 17296 terms, and of degree 45. The 15 factors are of degree 3. The *factor* command in *Maple* use 261.468 seconds to factor the polynomial, our algorithm 173.265 seconds.

Example 5. The polynomial is with three variables, 37820 terms, and of degree 59. The 25 factors are of degree 2 or 3. The *factor* command in *Maple* used 1683.655 seconds to factor the polynomial, our algorithm used 710.045 seconds.

6 Conclusion

- Our algorithm first need a initial point. We just get $n - 1$ floating-point number at random, and then substitute them into a polynomial to be factored and obtain a univariate polynomial. Solving the univariate polynomial for the last variable x_n yielding d_n solutions. In the above discussion, it seems only to take one solution and throw away the other solution. In fact, we should keep these $d_n - 1$ points as initial points on the other varieties. For the same reason, we should keep other $d_n - 1$ solution whenever get a sampled point in neighborhood U_0 . Once we get sampled points in neighborhood U_0 , we obtain $d_n - 1$ sets of sampled points on the other $d_n - 1$ varieties of the original polynomial.

- Our algorithm is to get exact factorization of polynomials by approximate method, so its efficiency is higher than symbolic factorization method when a polynomial is with more variables and higher degree. However its efficiency is lower than symbolic factorization when a polynomial is with less variables and lower degree. In order to take advantage of both numerical and symbolic factorization algorithm, we can factor a polynomial with more variables and higher degree as follows: First, we use our algorithm to get some factors of the polynomial and remove these factors from the polynomial. When the remaining polynomial is with less variables and lower degree, then, we use symbolic factorization algorithm to get the remaining factors. In fact, Our algorithm is implemented by this idea.
- Noting that interpolating formula (2) is lower efficient when the factors of the original are with more variables and higher degree. So we should improve interpolating formula (2) further.

References

- [1] Carl de Boor, Polynomial Interpolation in Several Variables, in Studies in Computer Science (in Honor of Samuel D. Conte), R. DeMillo and J. R. Rice (eds.), P87–119, Plenum Press New York. 1994.
- [2] Corless, R.M., Giesbrecht, M.W., et al, Numerical implicitization of parametric hypersurfaces with linear algebra. In proceeding of AISC2000, LNAI 1930, pp.174-183.
- [3] Robert M. Corless, Mark W. Giesbrecht, et al, Towards factoring bivariate approximate polynomials, In Proc. ISSAC 2001, ACM press, pp.85-92
- [4] David Cox John Little and Donal O'Shea, Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms. Springer-verlag, New York, 1992.
- [5] S. Gao, Factoring multivariate polynomials via partial differential equations, Math. Comp., 72 (2003), pp.801-822.
- [6] J. Von Zur Gathen, Irreducibility of multivariate polynomials, J. Comput. System Sci., 31 (1985), pp. 225-264.
- [7] Joachim von zur Gathen et. al. Modern computer Algebra, Cambridge University Press.(1999)
- [8] Huang, Y., Wu, W., Stetter, H., and Zhi, L. Pseudofactors of multivariate polynomials. In Proc. ISSAC'00(2000), ACM Press, pp.161-168.
- [9] Erich Kaltofen, Lakshman Yagati, Improved Sparse Multivariate Polynomial Interpolation Algorithms. In Symbolic Algebraic Comput. Internat. Symp. ISSAC '88 Proc. [17], pages 467-474, 1988.

- [10] Mou-Yan, Z., and Unbehausen, R. Approximate factorization of multivariable polynomials. *Signal Proces.* 14(1988), 141-152.
- [11] Sasaki, T., Suzuki, M., et al., Approximate factorization of multivariate polynomials and absolute irreducibility testing. *Japan J. Indust. Appl. Math.* 8 (1991),357-375.
- [12] Sasaki, T., Saito T., and Hilano, T., Analysis of approximate factorization algorithm. *Japan J. Indust. Appl. Math.*9 (1992),351-368.
- [13] Tateaki Sasaki, Approximate multivariate polynomial factorization based on zero-sum relations. In *Proc. ISSAC'2001*, ACM Press, pp.284-291.
- [14] A.Bostan Stix, G.Lecerf, Lama, B.Salvy,Algo. Complexity Issues in Bivariate polynomial Factorization, *ISSAC04*, July 4-7,2004. pp. 42-49.
- [15] H.Zassenhaus. On Hensel Factorization I. *J. Numer Theory*, 1(1):291-311, 1969.
- [16] H. Zassenhaus. A Remark on the hensel factorization method. *Math. Comp.*, 32(141):287-292, 1978.
- [17] Jingzhong Zhang, Yong Feng, Obtaining Exact Value by Approximate Computations, submitted to *China Sciences*. http://arxiv.org/PS_cache/math/pdf/0611/0611915.pdf.